

**ACCESS DOMAIN: TABLE 1. DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF PERSONS SERVED BY THE STATE MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY**  
**FY 2002**

Hawaii

STATE: **Hawaii**

	Total Served				Penetration Rates		
	State FY 2002		US FY 2002 (Based on States Reporting)		State Penetration Rate (Served/State Pop) x1000	US Penetration Rate (Based on states reporting)	
	<u>n</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>%</u>			
<u>Age</u>							
0 to 3	44	1%	32,358	1%	0.7	2.7	(47 states)
4 to 12	1,559	18%	644,542	14%	10.3	21.5	(49 states)
13 to 17	2,026	23%	558,765	12%	24.7	34.1	(49 states)
18 to 20	630	7%	191,003	4%	13.0	18.8	(50 states)
21 to 64	4,156	47%	2,848,402	60%	5.9	21.1	(50 states)
65 to 74	236	3%	141,971	3%	2.8	9.2	(50 states)
75 and over	123	1%	112,675	2%	1.6	8.2	(50 states)
Unknown Age	10	0%	110,239	2%			(26 states)
TOTAL	8,784	100%	4,728,316	100%	7.3	19.8	(51 states)
<u>Gender</u>							
Female	3,289	37%	2,380,571	50.3%	5.5	19.8	(50 states)
Male	5,487	62%	2,244,778	47.5%	9.0	19.4	(50 states)
Unknown	8	0%	102,967	2.2%			(30 states)
TOTAL	8,784	100%	4,728,316	100%	7.3	19.8	(51 states)
<u>Race/Ethnicity</u>							
American Indian/Alaskan Native	49	1%	49,497	1%	13.9	22.3	(47 states)
Asian	1,956	22%	60,669	1%	3.9	7.7	(48 states)
Black/African American	151	2%	991,235	21%	6.9	33.5	(47 states)
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1,514	17%	10,944	0.2%	13.3	54.9	(23 states)
White	2,308	26%	2,932,673	62%	7.8	17.3	(50 states)
Hispanic	203	2%	264,621	6%	2.3	14.7	(21 states)
Multi-Racial	753	9%	35,018	1%	2.9	10.2	(21 states)
Other Race/Race Unknown	1,850	21%	383,659	8%			(48 states)
TOTAL	8,784	100%	4,728,316	100%	7.3	20.5	(51 states)
<u>Hispanic Origin</u>							
Hispanic or Latino	-	-	284,214	10%	0.0	11.6	(31 states)
Not Hispanic or Latino	-	-	2,294,165	83%	0.0	18.6	(32 states)
Hispanic Status Unknown	-	-	190,092	7%			(21 states)
TOTAL	-	-	2,768,471	100%			(31 states)

**Note:**

This Table uses data from URS/DIG Table 2a, Table 2b and from the U.S. Census Bureau.

All denominators use U.S. Census data from 2000.

U.S. Totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

**State Notes:**

2a 1) Data are unduplicated within agencies but an overlap of up to 50 may be possible between CAMHD and AMHD for the 18-20. 2) Data portrayed for Adult Mental Health programs only. 3) Hawaii is one of a few states that owns and operates its own Mental Health facilities (Hawaii State Hospital and Community Mental Health Centers). In addition, the state contracts for supplementary services (e.g., Housing, ACT). The data herein only reflects state-owned and operated services. Starting in 2003, a new information service will allow us to report on state owned, operated, and contracted services. 4) In Hawaii, the State Mental Health Authority is distinct from the State Medicaid Authority and the State Substance Abuse Agency. Therefore, the number of persons served and the State Penetration Rates are not directly comparable to States where these agencies or programs are combined. The penetration rate and number served would be higher if data were combined across agencies.

2b None

2002 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

**ACCESS DOMAIN: TABLE 2. PERSONS SERVED IN COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAMS BY AGE AND GENDER  
FY 2002**

STATE: **Hawaii**

	Served in Community				Penetration Rates (rate per 1,000 population)	
	State FY 2002		US FY 2002		State Penetration Rate	US Penetration Rate (Based on states reporting)
<u>Age</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>%</u>		
0-17	-	-	1,050,606	27%	-	17.9
18-20	36	1%	167,285	4%	0.7	16.6
21-64	2,790	73%	2,483,621	63%	3.9	18.6
65+	281	7%	209,720	5%	1.7	7.2
Unknown	729	19%	9,233	0%		
TOTAL	3,836	100%	3,920,465	100%	3.2	16.6
<u>Gender</u>						
Female	1,531	40%	2,029,727	52%	2.5	17.2
Male	1,577	41%	1,882,372	48%	2.6	16.5
Unknown	728	19%	8,366	0%		
TOTAL	3,836	100%	3,920,465	100%	3.2	16.6

**Note:**

US totals are based on states reporting.

This Table uses data from URS/DIG Table 3a

U.S. Totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

**State Notes:**

AMHD was missing a date of birth for one non-homeless male and CAMHD did not capture homelessness in 2002. 728 people did not answer homelessness question.

**ACCESS DOMAIN: TABLE 3. PERSONS SERVED IN STATE PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITALS BY AGE AND GENDER  
FY 2002**

STATE: **Hawaii**

	Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals				Penetration Rates (rate per 1,000 population)	
	State FY 2002		US FY 2002 (Based on States Reporting)		State Penetration Rate	US Penetration Rate (Based on states reporting)
<u>Age</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>%</u>		
0-17	-	-	16,510	10%	-	0.29
18-20	4	1%	8,299	5%	0.08	0.85
21-64	298	95%	129,865	79%	0.42	1.01
65+	12	4%	8,308	5%	0.07	0.31
Unknown	-	-	2,198	1%		
TOTAL	314	100%	165,180	100%	0.26	0.74
<u>Gender</u>						
Female	64	20%	61,014	37%	0.11	0.54
Male	250	80%	101,748	62%	0.41	0.93
Unknown	-	-	2,418	1%		
TOTAL	314	100%	165,180	100%	0.26	0.74

**Note:**

US totals are based on states reporting.

This Table uses data from URS/DIG Table 3b

U.S. Totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

**State Notes:**

None

2002 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

ACCESS DOMAIN: TABLE 4.

PERSONS SERVED SMHA SYSTEMS WITH MEDICAID AND OTHER FUNDING SOURCES BY RACE AND GENDER  
FY 2002

STATE: **Hawaii**

<u>Race/Ethnicity</u>	<u>Hawaii</u>					<u>US Averages</u>				
	<u>n Served Medicaid</u>	<u>n Served Non-Medicaid</u>	<u>Total Served</u>	<u>% Served Medicaid</u>	<u>% Served Non-Medicaid</u>	<u>n Served Medicaid</u>	<u>n Served Non-Medicaid</u>	<u>Total Served</u>	<u>% Served Medicaid</u>	<u>% Served Non-Medicaid</u>
			315							
American Indian/Alaskan Native	18	22	49	37%	45%	22,836	26,890	49,497	46%	54%
Asian	830	1,261	1,956	42%	64%	43,404	23,185	60,669	72%	38%
Black/African American	41	75	151	27%	50%	481,536	425,268	991,235	49%	43%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	566	844	1,514	37%	56%	5,492	5,032	10,944	50%	46%
White	743	1,353	2,308	32%	59%	1,189,376	1,652,041	2,932,673	41%	56%
Hispanic	76	111	203	37%	55%	209,431	167,553	264,621	79%	63%
Multi-Racial	175	578	753	23%	77%	19,741	15,035	35,018	56%	43%
Other Race/Race Unknown	319	1,297	1,850	17%	70%	467,725	251,762	383,659		
TOTAL	2,768	5,541	8,784	32%	63%	2,439,541	2,566,766	4,728,316	52%	54%
<u>Hispanic Origin</u>										
Hispanic or Latino	-	-	-	-	-	164,938	133,522	284,214	58%	47%
Not Hispanic or Latino	-	-	-	-	-	954,529	1,216,074	2,294,165	42%	53%
Hispanic Status Unknown	-	-	-	-	-	55,641	142,083	190,092	29%	75%
TOTAL	-	-	-	-	-	1,175,108	1,491,679	2,768,471	42%	54%
<u>Gender</u>										
Female	1,121	2,031	3,289	34%	62%	1,265,761	1,282,391	2,380,571	53%	54%
Male	1,647	3,510	5,487	30%	64%	1,132,306	1,230,932	2,244,778	50%	55%
Unknown	-	-	8	0%	0%	42,952	53,443	102,967	42%	52%
TOTAL	2,768	5,541	8,784	32%	63%	2,441,019	2,566,766	4,728,316	52%	54%

**Note:**

Note: This Table uses data from 2 sources: DIG Table 5a, 5b (Hispanic Origin), DIG Table 2a (Total Served), and Table 2b (Hispanic Origin Total Served)  
Data is based on duplicated counts

**State Notes:**

2a 1) Data are unduplicated within agencies but an overlap of up to 50 may be possible between CAMHD and AMHD for the 18-20. 2) Data portrayed for Adult Mental Health programs only. 3) Hawaii is one of a few states that owns and operates its own Mental Health facilities (Hawaii State Hospital and Community Mental Health Centers). In addition, the state contracts for supplementary services (e.g., Housing, ACT). The data herein only reflects state-owned and operated services. Starting in 2003, a new information service will allow us to report on state owned, operated, and contracted services. 4) In Hawaii, the State Mental Health Authority is distinct from the State Medicaid Authority and the State Substance Abuse Agency. Therefore, the number of persons served and the State Penetration Rates are not directly comparable to States where these agencies or programs are combined. The penetration rate and number served would be higher if data were combined across agencies.

2b None

5a Data include both AMHD and CAMHD. Because the State Medicaid Authority is distinct from the State Mental Health Authority in Hawaii, the percentage of the total population reported is smaller than the US average.

5b None

## APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 1.



HOMELESS PERSONS SERVED BY COMMUNITY  
MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAMS BY AGE AND GENDER  
FY 2002

STATE: Hawaii

	Hawaii					US Data (Based on states reporting)				
	Homeless Served in Community		Total Served in Community <i>with Known Living Status</i>		Homeless as % of Total Served in Community	Homeless Served in Community		Total Served in Community <i>with Known Living Status</i>		Homeless as % of Total Served in Community
	<u>n</u>	%	<u>n</u>	%	%	<u>n</u>	%	<u>n</u>	%	%
<b>Age</b>										
0-17	-	0%	-	0%	-	7,510	7%	816,069	27%	0.9%
18-20	4	2%	36	1%	11.1%	3,760	4%	130,792	4%	2.9%
21-64	212	96%	2,790	90%	7.6%	86,645	83%	1,953,277	63%	4.4%
65+	5	2%	281	9%	1.8%	3,174	3%	169,708	6%	1.9%
Unknown	-	0%	-	0%	-	3,134	3%	6,979	0%	-
TOTAL	221	100%	3,108	100%	7.1%	104,223	100%	3,076,825	100%	3.4%
<b>Gender</b>										
Female	79	36%	1,531	49%	5.2%	41,137	39%	1,602,641	52%	2.6%
Male	142	64%	1,577	51%	9.0%	61,470	59%	1,467,656	48%	4.2%
Unknown	-	-	-	-	-	1,616	2%	6,528	0.2%	-
TOTAL	221	100%	3,108	100%	7.1%	104,223	100%	3,076,825	100.0%	3.4%

**Note:**

This Table uses community data from URS/DIG Table 3a

**State Notes:**

AMHD was missing a date of birth for one non-homeless male and CAMHD did not capture homelessness in 2002. 728 people did not answer homelessness question.

**2002 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table**

**APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 2.**

**NUMBER OF ADMISSIONS DURING THE YEAR TO STATE  
HOSPITAL INPATIENT AND COMMUNITY-BASED  
PROGRAMS  
FY 2002**

STATE: Hawaii

	State Admissions During Year	State Total Served During Year (From Tables 3a and 3b)	US Admissions During Year (for states reporting)	U.S. Total Served During Year (From Tables 3a and 3b)	State Admission Rate	US Admission Rate
State Psychiatric Hospitals	172	314	166,782	165,180	0.55	1.01
Children	-	-	17,365	16,510	-	1.05
Adults	172	314	149,417	146,472	0.55	1.02
Unknown Age				2,198		
Community Programs	4,831	3,836	2,066,826	3,929,698	1.26	0.53
Children	1,148	-	618,819	1,050,606	-	0.59
Adults	3,683	3,107	1,448,007	2,869,859	1.19	0.50
Unknown Age	-	729		9,233		

**Note:**

Admission Rate = number of admissions divided by total served during the year.

This Table uses data from URS/DIG Table 3a, Table 3b and Table 6

**State Notes:**

3a AMHD was missing a date of birth for one non-homeless male and CAMHD did not capture homelessness in 2002. 728 people did not answer homelessness question.

3b None

6 1) Beginning with FY 2002, CAMHD transferred "low-end" outpatient mental health services to the Department of Education (DOE) School Based Behavioral Health (SBBH) program. As the year ended, services for youth with Pervasive Developmental Disorders (PDD) were also being transferred to DOE. Therefore, our overall population changed dramatically. The discharges recorded during the year reported above included the transfer of PDD youth, but did not include the one-time discharge of low-end services (n = 8,166) during the fiscal year transition. Exact age breakdowns were not readily available for this information but could be estimated using overall population rates of CAMHD youth 18 years and older. 2) Beginning with FY 2002, CAMHD transferred "low-end" outpatient mental health services to the Department of Education (DOE) School Based Behavioral Health (SBBH) program. As the year ended, services for youth with Pervasive

2002 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

**APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 3. MEAN LENGTH OF STAYS OF ADULTS AND CHILDREN IN STATE PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITALS  
FY 2002**

STATE: **Hawaii**

	<u>Hawaii</u> Length of Stay (Days)		<u>US Median</u> Length of Stay (Days)	
	Discharged Clients	Resident Clients	Discharged Clients	Resident Clients
State Hospitals				
Children	-	-	66	147
Adults	118	231	76	985

**Note:**

Resident Clients are clients who were receiving services in inpatient settings at the end of the reporting period.

This Table uses data from URS/DIG Table 6

**State Notes:**

1) Beginning with FY 2002, CAMHD transferred "low-end" outpatient mental health services to the Department of Education (DOE) School Based Behavioral Health (SBBH) program. As the year ended, services for youth with Pervasive Developmental Disorders (PDD) were also being transferred to DOE. Therefore, our overall population changed dramatically. The discharges recorded during the year reported above included the transfer of PDD youth, but did not include the one-time discharge of low-end services (n = 8,166) during the fiscal year transition. Exact age breakdowns were not readily available for this information but could be estimated using overall population rates of CAMHD youth 18 years and older. 2) Beginning with FY 2002, CAMHD transferred "low-end" outpatient mental health services to the Department of Education (DOE) School Based Behavioral Health (SBBH) program. As the year ended, services for youth with Pervasive Developmental Disorders (PDD) were also being

## APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 4.

**PERCENT OF ADULTS AND CHILDREN SERVED WHO MEET THE FEDERAL DEFINITIONS  
FOR SMI AND SED AND PERCENT OF ADULTS AND CHILDREN SERVED WHO HAVE  
CO-OCCURRING MH/AOD DISORDERS  
FY 2002**

STATE: **Hawaii**

<b>Adults and Children who meet the Federal Definitions of SMI/SED</b>	<u>State Average</u>	<u>US Average</u>	<u>US Median</u>
12.2.a. Percent of Adults served through the SMHA who meet the Federal definition for SMI	100%	62%	60%
12.2.b. Percent of Children through the SMHA who meet the Federal definition for SED	76%	65%	66%

<b>Co-occurring MH and Substance Abuse Consumers</b>	<u>State Average</u>	<u>US Average</u>	<u>US Median</u>
12.3.a1. Percent of Adults served through the SMHA who had a co-occurring MH and AOD Disorder	22%	19%	20%
12.3.a2. Percent of Children through the SMHA who had a co-occurring MH and AOD Disorder	8%	5%	4%
12.2.a. Percent of Adults served through the SMHA who had a co-occurring MH and AOD Disorder who meet the Federal definition for SMI	8%	20%	20%
12.2.b. Percent of Children served through the SMHA who had a co-occurring MH and AOD Disorder who meet the Federal definition for SED	95%	9%	3%

**Note:**

This Table uses data from URS/DIG Table 12

**State Notes:**

Regarding dual diagnosis, 363 consumers out of 4557 served received a substance abuse diagnosis during FY 2002. If not limited in time, 833 consumers served during FY 2002 had received a substance abuse diagnosis which would result in a 18.3% dual diagnosis.



2002 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

**OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 1. EMPLOYMENT STATUS OF ADULT MENTAL HEALTH CONSUMERS  
SERVED IN THE COMMUNITY BY AGE AND GENDER  
FY 2002**

STATE: **Hawaii**

	Hawaii								
	Mental Health Consumers Employed	Mental Health Consumers who were Unemployed	Adults Served in Labor Force *	Adults Served in Community with Known Employment Status**	State MH Consumers Employed As a % of Those in Labor Force	US MH Consumers Employed As a % of Those in Labor Force	State MH Consumers Employed as % of Served in Community***	US MH Consumers Employed as % of Served in Community ***	Number of States Reporting
<u>Age</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>n</u>					
18-20	8	29	37	37	22%	34%	22%	17%	39
21-64	559	2,245	2,804	2,804	20%	36%	20%	21%	39
65+	7	275	282	282	2%	20%	2%	9%	36
Unknown	-	-	-	-	-	50%	-	65%	12
TOTAL	574	2,549	3,123	3,123	18%	36%	18%	21%	40
<u>Gender</u>									
Female	279	1,264	1,543	1,543	18%	36%	18%	21%	38
Male	295	1,285	1,580	1,580	19%	36%	19%	20%	38
Unknown	-	-	-	-	-	27%	-	24%	13
TOTAL	574	2,549	3,123	3,123	18%	36%	18%	21%	40

**Note:**

\* Mental Health Adults in Labor Force is the sum of MH consumers employed plus unemployed

\*\* Adults Served in Community excludes persons for whom employment status was unknown

\*\*\* Includes persons who are "not in the labor force" according to BLS definition. Many of these individuals would likely seek employment if it were available.

MH Consumers Employed as % of Total Adults Served uses Adults Served as the denominator

MH Consumers Employed as % of Adults served in Labor Force uses persons employed or Unemployed as the denominator

This Table uses data from URS/DIG Table 4

**State Notes:**

AMHD did not have an entry field for Not in Labor Force in 2002. Only outpatient consumers served by the CMHCs are reported.

## OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 2.

CONSUMER SURVEY RESULTS  
FY 2002STATE: **Hawaii**

State Identifier:	Children		Adults	
Indicators	Hawaii	US Average	Hawaii	US Average
1. Percent Reporting Positively About Access.	-	79%	-	82%
2. Percent Reporting Positively About Quality and Appropriateness for Adults / Reporting Positively about Satisfaction for Children.	-	80%	88%	82%
3. Percent Reporting Positively About Outcomes.	-	65%	83%	71%
4. Percent of Family Members Reporting on Participation In treatment Planning.	-	77%		
5. Percent of Family Members Reporting High Cultural Sensitivity of Staff. (Optional)	-	85%		
6. Percent of Adults Reporting on Participation In Treatment Planning. (Optional)			-	75%
7. Percent of Adults Positively about General Satisfaction with Services. (Optional)			75%	83%

	<b>Hawaii</b>	<b>US</b>
1. Was the Official 28 Item MHSIP Adult Outpatient Consumer Survey Used?	Yes	Yes = 18
1.c. Did you use any translations of the MHSIP into another language?	No	15
6. How many responses are the results based on?	1,531	2,443
7. How Many consumers were sampled?	3044	176,671
2. Populations covered in survey?	All consumers in State	Sample = 31 All Consumers = 12
4. Please describe the populations included in your sample: (e.g., all adults, only adults with SMI, etc.)		
4 Ans. Only adult with SMI		39

**State Notes:**

CAMHD did not survey consumers in 2002.

2002 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

**OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 3. CONSUMER SURVEY RESULTS  
FY 2002**

STATE: **Hawaii**

Race/Ethnicity	Indicators									
	1. Percent Reporting Positively About Access.		2. Percent Reporting Positively About Quality and Appropriateness for Adults		3. Percent Reporting Positively About Outcomes.		6. Percent of Adults Reporting on Participation In Treatment Planning. (Optional)		7. Percent of Adults Positively about General Satisfaction with Services. (Optional)	
	HI	US Average	HI	US Average	HI	US Average	HI	US Average	HI	US Average
American Indian/ Alaskan Native	-	87%	-	87%	-	71%	-	74%	-	88%
Asian	-	92%	-	81%	-	65%	-	74%	-	83%
Black/ African American	-	89%	-	82%	-	86%	-	70%	-	85%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	-	75%	-	73%	-	73%	-	72%	-	82%
White	-	82%	-	83%	-	71%	-	74%	-	83%
Hispanic	-	84%	-	84%	-	73%	-	77%	-	86%
Multi-Racial	-	94%	-	69%	-	50%	-	73%	-	69%
Other Race	-	75%	-	76%	-	66%	-	69%	-	77%

**Note:**

This Table uses data from URS/DIG Table 11a

**State Notes:**

1) AMHD consumer satisfaction survey did not include ethnicity. 2) The results of the consumer survey were not analyzed by race/ethnicity.

2002 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

**STRUCTURE DOMAIN: TABLE 1. STATE MENTAL HEALTH AGENCY CONTROLLED EXPENDITURES FOR MENTAL HEALTH  
FY 2001**

STATE: **Hawaii**

	State	% Total	%Total	US
	<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>State</u> <u>Expenditures</u>	<u>US Expenditures</u>	<u>Expenditures</u>
State Hospitals	\$ 33,811,099	16%	32%	\$ 7,298,364,471
Other 24-Hour Care	\$ 48,494,391	23%	17%	\$ 3,971,507,623
Ambulatory/Community	\$ 103,955,709	49%	49%	\$ 11,199,123,996
Central Office, Research, Training, Prvention,	\$ 27,382,709	13%	3%	\$ 594,043,226
TOTAL	\$ 213,643,908			\$ 23,063,589,317

**Note:**

Other 24 Hour Care includes Residential care in state hospitals and inpatient and residential care in communities  
Data from NRI's Funding Sources and Expenditures of State Mental Health Agencies, FY'2001 report,

**State Notes:**

Previously, Hawaii's child and adolescent mental health system was severely under-funded for decades, thus resulting in substandard services and two federal court actions. As a result of substandard care, the Felix Consent Decree (FCD) (1994) and the Department of Justice Settlement Agreement (1991) resulted. These settlements, and the corresponding intense federal court oversight, required the rapid development of a comprehensive system of care. When a state is required to create a system in 8 years that other states developed over decades, there is a substantial cost. This cost will stabilize overtime. FY '01 is representative of rapid growth in population served and amounts/types of services offered. It is more representative of a "make up" year. It is not comparable to FY'02 or other years going forward. The FCD requires that a comprehensive system of care with full access to services be available to all plaintiff class youth. This resulted in services being provided by mental health, and funded through CAMHD, that are more typically funded through other state's child welfare systems and judiciary systems.

**STRUCTURE DOMAIN: TABLE 2. STATE MENTAL HEALTH AGENCY CONTROLLED REVENUES  
BY FUNDING SOURCE  
FY 2001**

STATE: **Hawaii**

	<u>State Hospital</u>			<u>Ambulatory/Community</u>		
	<u>Hawaii</u>			<u>Hawaii</u>		
	<u>Revenues</u>	<u>% Total</u>	<u>% Total (US)</u>	<u>Revenues</u>	<u>% Total</u>	<u>% Total (US)</u>
<b>Medicaid</b>	\$0	-	28%	\$741,593	0%	40%
<b>Community MH Block Grant</b>	\$0	-	-	\$1,605,228	1%	2%
<b>Other SAMHSA</b>	\$0	-	0%	\$300,000	0%	0.2%
<b>Other Federal (non-SAMHSA)</b>	\$0	-	4%	\$337,063	0%	4%
<b>State</b>	\$27,658,878	100%	63%	\$152,447,982	98%	46%
<b>Other</b>	\$0	-	5%	\$282,916	0%	6%
<b>TOTAL</b>	\$27,658,878		100%	\$155,714,782		100%

**Note:**Data from NRI's Funding Sources and Expenditures of State Mental Health Agencies, FY'2001 report.

State Mental Health Agency Controlled Expenditures and Revenues, Tables 25, 26, and 27.

**State Notes:**

Previously, Hawaii's child and adolescent mental health system was severely under-funded for decades, thus resulting in substandard services and two federal court actions. As a result of substandard care, the Felix Consent Decree (FCD) (1994) and the Department of Justice Settlement Agreement (1991) resulted. These settlements, and the corresponding intense federal court oversight, required the rapid development of a comprehensive system of care. When a state is required to create a system in 8 years that other states developed over decades, there is a substantial cost. This cost will stabilize overtime. FY '01 is representative of rapid growth in population served and amounts/types of services offered. It is more representative of a "make up" year. It is not comparable to FY'02 or other years going forward. The FCD requires that a comprehensive system of care with full access to services be available to all plaintiff class youth. This resulted in services being provided by mental health, and funded through CAMHD, that are more typically funded through other state's child welfare systems and judiciary systems.

2002 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

**STRUCTURE DOMAIN: TABLE 3. FEDERAL MENTAL HEALTH BLOCK GRANT  
EXPENDITURES FOR NON-DIRECT SERVICE ACTIVITIES  
FY 2002**

STATE: **Hawaii**

	State Expenditures (Non-Direct)	% Total (Non-Direct)	% Total (Non-Direct) for US (For states reporting data)
Technical Assistance Activities	\$55,000	22.3%	23.5%
Planning Council	\$6,000	2.4%	4.7%
Other Activities	\$126,908	51.5%	16.8%
Administration	\$0	-	43.8%
Data Collection/Reporting	\$58,592	23.8%	10.3%
TOTAL	\$246,500	100.0%	100.0%

**Note:**

This Table uses data from URS/DIG Table 8

**State Notes:**

None